

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 \* \* \*

6 SERGEY MKHITARYAN,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 COUNTY OF CLARK,

10 Defendant.  
11

Case No. 2:24-cv-00708-RFB-NJK

**ORDER**

12 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 45) of  
13 the Honorable Judge Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on June 27,  
14 2025. A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the  
15 findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may  
16 file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28  
17 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the  
18 district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the  
19 report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28  
20 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however,  
21 a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report  
22 and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant  
23 to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by July 11, 2025. No objections have been filed.  
24 The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate  
25 Judge’s recommendation.

26 ///

27 ///

28 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 45) is

1 **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in full.

2 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

3 The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.

4  
5  
6 **DATED:** July 23, 2025.

7  
8 

9 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28